

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/543,281	04/05/2000	Guolin Ma	D0532/7031-GSE	6483
7	590 11/19/2002			
Gary S Engelson			ЕХАМП	NER
600 Atlantic A	Greenfield & Sacks tlantic Avenue  FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D		AWRENCE D	
Boston, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	12
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

······································		AS-1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/543,281	MA ET AL.
, avicely neuell	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence D Ferguson	1774
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	app ars on the cover sh et w	vith the correspondence address
HE REPLY FILED 23 October 2002 FAILS TO PLA herefore, further action by the applicant is required hal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be eithe andition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A xamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of ther: (1) a timely filed amendation ppeal (with appeal fee); or (	nis application. A proper reply to a nent which places the application in
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY (check either a) or	b)]
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lated ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY of 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three rined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set ter than SIX MONTHS from the mainwas FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH the date on which the petition under sextension and the corresponding amatened statutory period for reply original controls.	ling date of the final rejection.  HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee and the second of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37		
. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:	
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require f	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appea	I by materially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without ca	nceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claims.
. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s):	
. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed amendment
.☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request application in condition for allowance because		een considered but does NOT place the
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed s	SOLELY to issues which were newly
□ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendre explanation of how the new or amended claim		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:	
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-31</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		•
□ Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper	r No(s)
. Other: See Continuation Sheet		CVAITANA 11
	•	CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1709
Patent and Trademark Office 0-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 10

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: for reasons of record. Remarks to rejection under35 USC 112, first paragraph has been considered but is upheld because Applicant fails to fully address the lack of support for 'a coating system of layers having a thermal conductivity that maintains the coating system of layers at a temperature that does not cause more evaporation during read and write operations of the same coating system of layers and of molecules adsorbed therein from an ambient atmosphere than absent the read and write operations.' Applicant argues there is no teaching in Rosen of a loww thermal conductivity. Rosen teaches low thermal conductivity in column 8, lines 23-27. Additionally, Buckingham and Rosen are analogous art because they are both directed to multilayer recording media and Rosen teaches incorporating low thermal conductivity in a multilayer recording media for protecting the substrate from deformation (column 8, lines 23-27). Additionally, Rosen and Lee are analogous art because they are both directed to recording mediums and Lee teaches incorporating an air bearing assembly with sliding SIL and reducted spot size in a recording medium for convienence to the public.

Continuation of 10. Other: Rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn due to amendment of claim 13 to remove indefinite claim language..